

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Worsley
Given Name	Kay
Person ID	1286353
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Worsley
Given Name	Kay
Person ID	1286353
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Areas that are Green Belt/Space should be preserved and protected.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ongoing building and proposed building will continue to destroy the hedgerows and green space which will have further devastating effect on wildlife and environment. - Roads are already congested as traffic converges to get to the Worsley junction to access motorways M6, M60, M62 and M602. The East Lancashire Road (A580) has also been assessed as operating at over capacity. - Developments and ongoing developments of thousands of new houses in the area by companies Bellway, Garrett Manor, Eccleston Homes, Redrow and Rowlands have added and continue to add dramatically to the congestion on the roads as house holders will most certainly have one if not two cars. In addition the recently opened RHS Bridgewater has also impacted on wildlife and congestion. - The proposal will impact massively on the already stretched facilities - GP surgeries, dentists, schools and shops. - The pollution these houses and vehicles will create clashes completely with the aims outlined in the Manchester Clean Air Plan. - Public transport will also be affected as the increased number of vehicles on the roads and more people wanting to use the buses will impact on the efficiency and reliability of it. <p>It states in the National Planning Framework the purposes of Green Belt:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas. 2. Preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another. 3. Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment. 4. Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns.

	<p>5. Assisting in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and urban land.</p> <p>These purposes, in addition to the above objections and hundreds of objections to the original proposal in the Greater Manchester Spatial Framework, make a strong argument for the proposal to build to be rejected by Wigan Council.</p> <p>The area and surrounding area has already lost a vast amount of green space to development and should not have to lose any more.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>I don't believe it is reasonable or feasible to expect a layperson to detail legal objections to the proposal but as for soundness I believe that because of the aforementioned detrimental impact on wildlife, the environment, road congestion, public transport, schools, social and medical facilities, the only sensible alternatives to the proposal are for it to be either withdrawn by the developer or rejected by the Council.</p>